Volno·9045

[illegible]
Vol-9045

28

11

மதுரை மாவட்ட ஆவணக்
ஆட்சியர் ஆவணம்

File of Letters Sent
for 1853

Court of Wards

Vol No 9046
pages 23

pages 16-23

14847

502—12-3-54.

மதுரை 7

Block

Rack No.

Shelf No.

Serial No. 9045

General No. 30175

ரா. ஜெஸ்நாயகி.
செப்பனிடுபவர் பெயர்
3/2002
செப்பனிடுவதற்காக எடுத்துக்
கொள்ளப்பட்ட நாள்
6/2002
செப்பனிட்டு முடிக்க நாள்

சரிபார்க்கும்
மு. நி. பா. அ.
கையொப்பம்
6.6.2002
10/6/02

List of Retainable papers for 1853.

Sent Letters. Court of Wards   Madura Collector's Office.

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
5th. [illegible]	23	The Secy to the Court of Wards	Informing of the recognition by the uncle of the minor Zemindary, the latter's legitimacy to succeed to the Zemindary
15th Feb 1853	[redacted]	Collector of Madura	Translation of an Urzee from the uncle of minor of undivided ~~[illegible]~~ of the late Zemindar, recognising the legitimate title of the Zemindar (minor), to succeed the deceased & disavowing his claim therefor
4th April	41	Secy to the Court of Wards.	Requesting instructions regarding some momentous points relating to the Zemindary;
9th Oct. 1853	116	Secy to the Board of Revenue	Soliciting information regarding the nature of the ~~information~~ Security to be provided by the Managers and Guardians of minors under the Court of Wards.

List of Retainable papers for 1853.

Sent Letters. **Madura Collector's Office.**

Date.	Number.	To whom sent.	Substance.
th Nov	121	Secy to the Court of Wards.	Explaining that the Ko Villages in the Shevagau Zemindary, were Subseq acquisitions by purchase of the Zemindar, subsequent to the Istimrar grant of the Zemin
3th Dec	127	Do	Requesting to be let know of whether applications for Superannuated-pensions in the Zemindaries, can be received by the Court of Wa

as per copy of this Sect to the Court as per Diary
er 5th 1 Nov 1858 - to 15 Nov 58 No 23 of 1853

Recd 15/3/53

as Sub Collr in charge Madura 25 February 1853

To The Secy to the Ct of Wards

③

1 With Ref to the Correspondence noted in the margin I have the honor to submit ~~the original~~ Copy of a Tamil Address with translation by Ramasaboya Tevar, undivided brother of the late Zemindar & uncle of the ~~[illegible]~~, [illegible] present Minor, admitting the legitimacy of the Minor and their right to the Zemindary and praying for maintenance and payment of his debts.

No 5 Feby 1849
Do 26 Do
Do 28 Do
Do 26 March
Court of Wards
11 May 1849
From Do 22d Octr
To Do 23 Feby 1850
From Do 18 March
To Do 21 Feby 1852
From Do 18 March

2 Of the debts I have not yet received the list which he has promised. If they are moderate and duly proved I think that they should be discharged in like manner with those against the Zemindar when there is surplus from the Estate available for the purposes.

As regards maintenance

2

he would seem entitled now, that he has relinquished all claim to the Estate, to a somewhat more than is received by any others of the [illegible] Relations. The highest rate is that of [illegible] the Minors Mothers who obtains 100 Rs per mensem. I would recommend that 150 Rs per mensem should be offered to the Petitioner for the

Peshcush is ~~[illegible] Bunja or Bisheus~~ . 262500 "

One tenth of which is - 26250

The allowances now fixed for the support of the family are as follows:

Relatives of the Zemindar	746	8	"
Guardian & other Servants	330	"	"
Minor Zemindar	220	"	"
his Establishment	425	1	4
Tutor - - - - -	285	"	"
	2006	9	4
per annum	24079	"	
Balance	2171	"	
per mensem	180	14	0

should not be permitted on the part of the coonoom ryots; — I request the favor of your opinion on the matter.

X

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servt.

J Blackburne

Principal Collector

Madura

4th April 1806

(6)

[illegible] late of an address from Moottoo

Vyzonada [illegible]

alanee [illegible] brother of the late

of Shevaganga[illegible] to the Collector of Madura

dated [illegible] February 1853

[illegible] God preserve [illegible]

to the Hoozoor & other

[illegible]ter of [illegible] Pichama [illegible]

the Pullum wife [illegible] the

born of he[illegible] not [illegible]

but in consequence of [illegible]

enquiries & the information [illegible]

from relations I beg to [illegible]

that the said Pichama [illegible]

was the Pullum wife & the [illegible]

born of her [illegible] the [illegible]

entitled to the Zemindary [illegible]

& therefore [illegible]

[illegible] considered for

[illegible] of the [illegible]

[illegible] to live in possession [illegible]

[illegible] that opportunity [illegible]

[illegible] of those [illegible] suitable to my

may be ordered for the

~~[illegible]~~ and that [illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible] take the [illegible] have on

to the [illegible] of [illegible]

[illegible] may support

[illegible] Dated as for

~~[illegible]~~ for the

[illegible] from the [illegible]

[illegible] together

[illegible]

have [illegible]

entreat you that

[illegible] the restorations of the [illegible]

Copy of th
Para fu
nished a
stampt
paper to
Andagu
Sebiade
5th-3-6

to the management of [illegible]

when he attain [illegible] ages

you will treat me according

to the Custom in the [illegible]

& other Sama[illegible]

[illegible] evidenced by them

/signed/ J Moor [illegible]

[illegible]

Pere Godia[illegible]

Q [illegible] signed [illegible] is ma[illegible]

[illegible] deliver

you signed before [illegible]

me while halters at Indian

are all the contents thereof written

~~according~~ to your [illegible]

A Yes. They are written

[illegible] will

according to my [illegible] wishes

[illegible] together

/sigd/ Abigail [illegible]

Witnesses

Sigd/ Rama [illegible]

[illegible]

The Secreta

Sir

⑦

I have the honor to request the in
structions of the Court of Wards on the fol
lowing Subject

1st The Village of Puttanuttu in the
Ramanasevaram Talook of the Sivaganga
Zemindary in possession of Gowry Vallab
Taver & Moottoo Vadooganadha Taver o
ed by an illegitimate son of the Zo
Zemindar, was sequestered by the Ze
mindar in 18th Sept. 1848.

Tapasoody & Karasoody hamlets of
Kaboor in Arookatoogay Talook by myself
1850 the former from Vazeesawmy Taver
then of Moottoovadooganadha Taver & Gowry
Vallabha Taver & the latter from Sawmy
echatter.

Vattooranee a hamlet of C[illegible]tooreapu
Tanoor Talook from Sowmya[illegible]raganai
son of Ponnoyosian.

None of these Hamlets were
any Teerwa & the cause of the Se-
tion was suspicion that they
held without title. The Cultiv

tam /
Vettoor / 1260 1 - 4
Papa / / / 2 - 2 29 - 1/2 - /
Narcoody / / / 2 - 4 139 6/2 -

Gowry Vullabha Tavar above
tioned now claims them back and
ces as his ~~title~~ a deed, on an unstamp
dated in 1811 signed with the na
Deity which formed the usual
e of the Istumrar Zemindar, gran
ced Cullums hunjah & Poonji
thereto, there being forest land, to a
Ramasawmiah for the purposes of
Agrahums in honor of Mootooramal
Tever father of Gowry Vullabha Tav
natural son of the Istumrar Zemin
a Deed of sale dated 2 days la
from the said Ramasawmiah to
mal Tavar. The Court are o
in consequence of direct gifts
Soodras being contrary to the cu
the Hindoos, the object is attain
ditionaly by a gift in the first
Bramin.

In further support of the grant are
ne' mentio[illegible] the Jummabundy accounts
rom Fuslies 1246 to 1251 of the Ayn Villag
which the last mentioned 3 Villages are
amlets. In these the 3 hamlets are detailed as Dhurmasunam — but no mention is made of them in the Durmasunam accounts.

I cannot trace no entry of the other Village Pullamuttam in any account prior to its late sequestration by the Zemindar. It seems to have been reclaimed from the forest.

The unstamped Deed of 1811 stipulates that the 4 Villages shall be enjoyed rent free for 20 years & afterwards pay a quit rent of $3\frac{1}{2}$ f^s = 8^a - 9^p per deed Sullum-
The Rent free term expired in 1831 or 1832.
yet no quit rent was ever paid

The genuineness of the aforesaid Deed is strongly suspected because the hand writing differs from that of the person who was usually employed to prepare such documents, and the Zemindars symbolical signature does not resemble
that

lat affixed to other parts-

Were it ab[illegible] justifi

the Document, I should recommen

estoration of the Villages on the g

ent, recovering the Balance- but un

ee the circumstances it is my opinion

hat the Villages should be retained

lowing the claimants to establish their

t in the Civil Court-

The Receipts from these Villages

ave not yet been brought into the Tup

ccount- If the Court of Wards decide

o retain the Villages, they should I think

e included in Tup and also their in-

come as it accrues- If the claimant

hould recover at law, the receipts can

e refunded under a Specific Sanction-

Madura

I have &c

[illegible]

Collr.

No. 116 9 17

To
The Secretary to the Bd of Reve

Sir.

I am ~~anxious~~ desirous of being informed whether the security taken for the Managers and Guardians of Minors under the Court of Wards is usually of Real or personal property or merely a personal engagement by one or more writers under a penalty.

That hitherto taken from the Manager & Guardian of the Shevagunga Estate have been of the latter description

I request to be informed at the same time of the amt which the Board are of opinion shd be provided by these 2 Officers respectively - the & Guardian ~~also~~ Manager of Shevagunga gave 2 writers ~~each~~ jointly & severally ~~one of 3000 & the other of 2000 & the Estt Guardian gave also 2 writers of the aggregate amt of 2500~~ Rs also & to the amt of 5000 Rs

Madura
29 Oct 53

N° 121 Madura Meyloor 24 Novr. 1853

To The Secretary to the Ct. of Wards

Sir

~~[illegible]~~ With reference to the Proceedings of the Court of Wards dated 9th May last ~~I have the~~ ~~honor~~ relative to Kolloraj zemin villages in [illegible] – I have the honor to state that if the Statements enclosed in my Report on the Jummabundy of F. 1258, ~~which~~ ~~[illegible]~~ mentioned at the commencement of my letter be inspected, it will be found to contain the particulars required by the Ct.; and I beg to explain that by acquisitions by the Zemindar, I mean that he obtained the villages * by purchase subsequent to the grant of the Sunnud Istimrar, and that in my opinion, therefore, they do not form part of the Zemindary for which the Proprietor has entered into engagements with the Govt. By the word alienable used in Para 8 I mean alienable by the Zemindar ~~at~~ whose account they are now

* Note. It would be more correct to say such interest in them as is not entered in the Accts. ~~or~~ ~~[illegible]~~ The annual Nett Rent is entered in the Accts. that ~~[illegible]~~ Zemy. Rent is part of the Zemindary. The income of the villages over & above the same is a separate property.

held in sequestration -

~~Sam~~

I request however that the Board will ~~consider~~ consider my application of the 5 April 1853 as cancelled - inasmuch as I find that there may be conflicting claims names of the villagers entered in the list as be granted, and that others, who sh^d have been so entered, which are marked as newly granted.

Ros

To The Secretary to the
Court of Wards

Dated 24th Novr 1853.

No 127 Madura Meylour 13 Decr 1813

To the Secy to the ~~Bd of Reve~~ Ct of Wards

11 21 R

Sir:

I have the honor to enquire whether the Ct deem themselves competent to receive applications for Pensions ~~chargeable~~ to Servants rendered ~~incompetent~~ unfit for duty by long Service — the pensions being of course chargeable to the Zemindaries. My reason for asking the question is that the servants of the Shevagunga Zemindary either hired at present by the Court Establisht and one of the Subadars being thoroughly superannuated — It requires no argument to shew that this fact must be prejudicial to the ~~Zem~~ Minor's property, and at the same time it will be admitted that all the reasons that exist for granting pensions to old Servants in the Govt Establishment ~~[illegible]~~ apply to large Zemindaries like Shevagunga, ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~

I am &c

Collr —

⑪